

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,921	06/21/2001	Marco Peretti	702265.0007	6896
23911	7590 06/29/2006		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
	,		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2006.  2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3.5-19.21-29 and 31-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☒ Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3.5-14.16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  10 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ***BIRT TO period for regly is goodfied shows, the maintennetical control of the period for regly is goodfied shows, the maintennetical control of the period for regly is goodfied shows, the maintennetical control of the period for regly is goodfied shows, the maintennetical control of the period for regly is goodfied shows, the maintennetical control of the period for regly is goodfied shows, the maintennetical control of the period for regly is goodfied shows, the maintennetical control of the communication of the period of the communication of the period of the communication of the		09/884,921	PERETTI, MARCO				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Excession of term sing be availated under the postucious of 3° CFR 1130°. In or event, hower, may really be time filled in the production of the production of 3° CFR 1130°. In or event, hower, may really be time filled in the production of the production of the production of the communication. Plants to egylimish to set or eladide period for regive is application to be application to be communication. Plants to egylimish to set or eladed period for regive is applicated to be communication. Plants to egylimish to set or eladed period for regive is application of 10 April 2006.  20 This action is FINAL.  21 This action is formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.3.5.4.1.4.1.4.1.2.1.2.2.2.2.3 and 31.33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  51 The production of	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Exercisions of internary be available under the provisions of 37 CPT 1-130(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled.  - Exercision of internary be available under the provisions of 37 CPT 1-130(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled.  - If No period to reply is specified above, the maximum shallourly period will apply and will apply sky (g) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended panot for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED; 35 U.S. 5, § 1333, Any rays, provided by the Office late that the mailing date of this communication, even if limely filled, may reduce any available and the mailing date of this communication, even if limely filled, may reduce any available and the mailing date of this communication, even if limely filled, may reduce any available and the mailing date of this communication, even if limely filled, may reduce any available and the mailing date of this communication, even if limely filled, may reduce any available and the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set of extended panot for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED; 35 U.S. 5, § 1333, and panot for reduce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  - A) Claim(s) 1-3.5-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  - A) Claim(s) 1-3.5-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  - A) Claim(s) 1-3.5-14.16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  - Claim(s) 1-3.5-14.16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  - Claim(s) 1-3.5-14.16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  - Claim(s) 1-3.5-14.16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are pend		-					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exensive of men may be available under be provided on 30 °FR1-1369. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If NO period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication.  Failur to reply which the set or candend period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication.  Failur to reply which the set or candend period for reply is appelled above. The maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search plant from digitations. Set 27 GPR 1-70(4):  Status  1) Responsive to communication (5) filed on 10 April 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-3.5-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 15 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  10) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All by Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p							
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2006.  2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3.5-19.21-29 and 31-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☒ Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3.5-14.16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  10 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-19,21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-14,16-19,21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper Notice of Informal Papers of Informal Papers (PTO-143)  Paper Notice of Informal Papers of Informal Papers (PTO-152)	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-19,21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-14,16-19,21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mall Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au	oril 2006.					
3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-14.16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-3.5-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-3.5-14,16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-3.5-14,16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filled on 6/21/01 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Authority Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Paper Notis/Mail Date	· <u> </u>	,					
4) □ Claim(s) 1-3.5-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-3.5-14.16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1.3.5-14.16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-92)  20 □ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-14,16-19,21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-19,21-29 and 31-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1-3.5-14.16-19.21-29 and 31-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some or None or	5) Claim(s) 15 is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-14,16-19,21-29 and 31-33</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonic in the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 6/21/01 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>6/21/01</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)∐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			<b>u.</b>				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) ☐ Other:							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued by the applicant that Golan fails to disclose "intercepting a I/O request from a computer to an external storage media device in which the external storage media is inserted." The examiner respectfully disagrees, Golan is not relied upon for disclosing of insertion of an external storage media into an external storage medium device, (see Bharat column 3, lines 47-53 and column 4, lines 25-28), but is relied upon for teaching "intercepting an I/O request from the computer to an external storage media drive". The examiner is interpreting the external storage medium device as one that is connected across the Internet. Golan discloses of interception of I/O request from the computer to downloaded code from the Internet, see column 2, lines 22-28 & 42-57.

The applicant further challenges the examiner's motivation in that Golan is unrelated prior art to the teachings of Bharat. The motivation of applying the teachings of Golan is for intercepting requests so that if a malicious action is occurring, it could be diverted to avoid inflecting harm to a computer.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,921 Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3,5-14,16-19,21-29, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bharat, U.S. Patent 6,577,735 in view of Golan, U.S. Patent 5,974,549.

As per claims 1,18, and 22, Bharat discloses of a method, apparatus, and computer readable medium containing embedded software for backing up (shadowing) only accesses to audio data stored on a portable audio player comprising a compact disk (external storage media)(col. 3, lines 47-53 and col. 4, lines 25-28). Input/Output access is detected to the compact disk (external storage medium). A copy of the accessed data is written onto the computer's hard disk (location other than the external storage medium)(col. 3, lines 47-55). The teachings of Bharat are silent in disclosing intercepting an I/O request from the computer to an external storage media drive. The teachings of Golan disclose of intercepting an I/O request from the computer to downloaded code from the Internet (external storage media drive)(col. 2, lines 22-28 & 42-57). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to intercept requests so that if a malicious action is occurring, it could be diverted to avoid inflecting harm to a computer. The teachings of Golan disclose of motivational benefits by reciting of securing unknown software

Application/Control Number: 09/884,921

Art Unit: 2131

downloaded from an external source (col. 1, lines 4-8). It is obvious that the teachings of Bharat would have been further protected from unknown software loaded from an external source as is disclosed by Golan.

As per claims 2 and 19, it is taught by Golan of access being a write operation (col. 2, lines 34-38), please refer above for the motivation of combining the teachings of Golan to the disclosure of Golan.

As per claim 3, Bharat discloses of accessing being a read operation (col. 5, lines 22-25).

As per claim 5, Bharat discloses of the I/O request includes both read and write requests (col. 5, lines 22-25).

As per claims 6 and 23, it is taught by Bharat of a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive (col. 4, lines 31-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60).

As per claims 7,21,24, and 25, the teachings of Bharat disclose of use of an operating system stored in the system memory (col. 4, lines 27-30). It is interpreted by the examiner that the operating system can be instructed to perform commands such as IRP\_MJ\_READ and IRP\_MJ\_WRITE since they vary depending upon how the developer identified the code to be executed. Bharat does disclose of both read and write operations (col. 5, lines 22-25).

As per claim 8, Bharat discloses of a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive (col. 4, lines 31-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60). It is interpreted by the examiner that the operating

system can be instructed to perform commands such as IRP\_MJ\_READ and IRP\_MJ\_WRITE since they vary depending upon how the developer identified the code to be executed. Bharat does disclose of both read and write operations (col. 5, lines 22-25).

As per claim 9, the teachings of Bharat disclose of a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive (col. 4, lines 31-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60). It is interpreted by the examiner that the operating system can be instructed to perform a command such as IRP\_MJ\_READ since they vary depending upon how the developer identified the code to be executed. Bharat discloses read operations (col. 5, lines 22-25).

As per claim 10, Bharat teaches that the data stored on the computer's hard disk (location other than the external storage medium) is stored as an encrypted file (protected storage location)(col. 3, lines 47-54).

As per claim 11, Bharat discloses of writing the data to the portable jukebox (comprising an external storage medium) after the step of writing a copy of the data to the computer's hard disk (location other than the external storage medium)(col. 3, lines 47-55 and col. 8, lines 4-24).

As per claim 12, it is taught by Bharat of attaching file systems connected to an external storage medium drive in which the external storage medium is connected and intercepting the I/O request from the computer to the compact disk (external storage medium) drive in which the compact disk (external storage medium) is inserted to check for authenticity (col. 7, lines 48-60).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 13, it is disclosed by Bharat that the external storage medium is a compact disk (col. 3, lines 51-53).

As per claim 14, the teachings of Bharat disclose of storing the accessed data onto the computer's hard disk (database)(col. 3, lines 47-55). It is interpreted by the examiner that this information can be queried since it contains similar copies.

As per claim 16, Bharat discloses of the computer is connected to a computer network and the detecting and copying is performed at the computer (col. 3, lines 47-55 and col. 4, lines 19-22). Collecting and querying is performed using the CDDB at another computer on the network (col. 4, lines 19-22 and col. 5, lines 43-46).

As per claim 17, Bharat teaches of collecting and querying is performed by a user who is authorized (with administrator privileges)(col. 6, lines 57-61).

As per claim 26, Bharat discloses of a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive (col. 4, lines 31-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60). File identifiers are stored in a list (col. 3, lines 1-8).

As per claim 27, Bharat discloses that the file identifiers are serial numbers (IRP.FsContext values)(col. 3, lines 1-8).

As per claim 28, Bharat discloses of a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive. The number of iterations (certain file operations) is counted in determining authenticity of the compact disk (external storage medium) after failing to do so (col. 4, lines 31-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 29, Bharat discloses of the computer running an operating system and that includes a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive (col. 4, lines 25-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60).

As per claims 31-33, it is disclosed by Bharat that the external storage medium is a compact disk (col. 3, lines 51-53). The teachings of Golan are relied upon for disclosing of a writable media, please refer above for the motivation of combining the teachings of Golan to the disclosure of Golan.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 15 is allowed.

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/884,921

Art Unit: 2131

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 26, 2006

CHRISTOPHER REVAK PRIMARY EXAMINER

6/26/06

Page 8